BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

	로그리스(이) 열리 회사 작업 기계 입니다. 그렇게 된 그리고 생각하는 소문했다.
IN RE:	보이다. 4) 이름 하면 사람이 있는 가는 사람이 되었다. 나를 가는 없었다.
뭐 그는 이번 사람들은 나를 하는데 하는 것은 것이 없는 사람들이다.	이 나는 가게 되었다. 사람은 사람들은 나를 통했다고 되었다.
PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF THE) DOCKET NO. 02-00773
	DOCINE 1 110. 02-007/3
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT	보고 물루 이 사람들이 없는 것이 얼마를 다 되었다. 그렇게 되었다는 것은 것이 없다.
BETWEEN UNITED TELEPHONE-	(1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
SOUTHEAST, INC. AND LEVEL 3	요즘 나는 사람들이 되었다. 아름이 살아가는 것이 되었다면 하셨다.
COMMUNICATIONS, LLC	
왕있고 않고 하다 있었다. 보이 한 사고 목표를 받아 하나는 사람은 점점이다. 그렇게 보다 하다.	그런 그 이번 수도 있다. 그는 이는 유명하는 하는 사람들이 살아갔다고 있다. 그렇게 하다 다

ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

This matter came before Chairman Sara Kyle, Director Deborah Taylor Tate, and Director Pat Miller of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority"), the voting panel assigned to this docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on August 19, 2002 to consider, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Petition for approval of an interconnection and resale agreement negotiated between United Telephone-Southeast, Inc. and Level 3 Communications, LLC filed on July 11, 2002.

Based upon the review of the agreement, the record in this matter, and the standards for review set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Directors unanimously granted the Petition and made the following findings and conclusions:

- 1) The Authority has jurisdiction over public utilities pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.
- 2) The agreement is in the public interest as it provides consumers with alternative sources of telecommunications services within the United Telephone-Southeast, Inc. service area.

- 3) The agreement is not discriminatory to telecommunications service providers that are not parties thereto.
- 4) 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A) provides that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it "discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement" or if the implementation of the agreement "is not consistent with the public interest, convenience or necessity." Unlike arbitrated agreements, a state commission may not reject a negotiated agreement on the grounds that the agreement fails to meet the requirements of 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 or 252(d). Thus, although the Authority finds that neither ground for rejection of a negotiated agreement exists, this finding should not be construed to mean that the agreement is consistent with §§ 251 or 252(d) or, for that matter, previous Authority decisions.
 - 5) No person or entity has sought to intervene in this docket.
- 6) The agreement is reviewable by the Authority pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252 and Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Petition is granted, and the interconnection and resale agreement negotiated between United Telephone-Southeast, Inc. and Level 3 Communications, LLC is approved and is subject to the review of the Authority as provided herein.

Sara Kyle, Chairman

Deborah Taylor Tate, Directo

Pat Miller, Director

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(B)(Supp. 2001).